UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,815	10/11/2005	Declan Patrick Kelly	NL 030420	5564
	7590 04/30/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		DUDEK JR, EDWARD J		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2186		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/552,815	KELLY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Edward J. Dudek	2186	

	Edward J. Dudek	2186	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the properties of the	sideration and/or search (see NOT v); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed an example of the complex of	·		·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7,10-14,16,18-20 and 22-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Matt Kim/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2186	/Edward J Dudek/ Examiner, Art Unit 2186		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues "It is respectfully submitted that the Fujimoto non-volatile flash memory has nothing to do and does not disclose or suggest a record carrier. A record carrier is different from a flash memory and thus presents different considerations. There is simply no disclosure or suggestion in Bradley, Fujimoto, and combination thereof of 'mapping means which is adapted to mount said second file system on said record carrier and to translate said second file system in a memory unit into equivalent structures of said first file system, and to store static data structures of said first file system in a file on said record carrier and volatile data structures of said first file system in said memory unit', as recited in independent claim 1. Storing static data structures of the first file system in a file on the record carrier reduces the need to frequent updates, thus reducing the likelihood that the record carrier will run out of cycles for rewriting. No such recyclability issue is present for the Fujimoto non-volatile flash memory." (See pages 14-15)

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Fujimoto reference is only relied upon to disclose storing volatile data structures on the volatile memory and static data structures on the record carrier. The Fujimoto reference is similar to the claimed limitations of a record carrier. A flash memory cannot be over-written, in order to write over data that is currently stored on the memory, the memory area must first be erased, then new data can now be written to that area. Similarly, for a record carrier, a record carrier can be over-written, but the record carriers must first be erased before this can happen. Therefore, Fujimoto stores volatile data structured in the volatile memory to prevent multiple writes to the non-volatile memory, which would eventually force an erase cycle when there are no more available blocks to write new data to. Bradley already discloses the mounting and translation of the second file system into equivalent structures of the first file system (see [0133]).

/Matt Kim/ SPE, AU2186